

NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH  
 United States Attorney  
 District of Nevada  
 Nevada Bar No. 13644  
 LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX  
 Nevada Bar No. 14040  
 Email: Lisa.Cartier-Giroux@usdoj.gov  
 KIMBERLY SOKOLICH  
 Email: Kimberly.Sokolich@usdoj.gov  
 Assistant United States Attorneys  
 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
 Phone: (702) 388-6336  
*Representing the United States of America*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

United States Of America,	)	Case No. 2:20-mj-00801-DJA
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>ORDER    <u>To Continue Preliminary</u></b>
	)	<b><u>Hearing</u></b>
vs.	)	(Third Request)
	)	
ALEJANDRO AVALOS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Nicholas A Trutanich, United States Attorney; Lisa Cartier Giroux, Assistant United States Attorney; Kimberly Sokolich, Assistant United States Attorney, representing the United States of America and William Brown, Esq., counsel for defendant Alejandro Avalos, that the preliminary hearing in the above captioned case, which is currently scheduled for January 11, 2021 at 4:00pm, be continued and reset to a date and time convenient to the Court, but no sooner than fourteen (14) days.

1. The government has provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16 Discovery. Counsel for the defendant needs additional time to review the discovery and

1 discuss it with his client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment. Additionally, the parties  
2 are discussing a pre-indictment resolution that may resolve the matter without a preliminary  
3 hearing.

4 2. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense  
5 counsel an opportunity to review discovery with their client and prepare for the preliminary  
6 hearing.

7 3. The defendant is detained but agrees to the continuance.

8 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the  
9 continuance.

10 5. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) provides that a magistrate judge  
11 may extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c) with the defendant's consent and upon a showing  
12 of good cause taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal  
13 cases. Because the defendant requires additional time to review discovery with their client  
14 prior to the preliminary hearing, good cause exists to extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c).

15 6. The time from January 11, 2021, to the new preliminary hearing date will be  
16 excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A),  
17 which provides that the Court may exclude time arising from a continuance upon finding  
18 that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the  
19 defendant and the public in a speedy trial.

20 7. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of  
21 justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the  
22 defendant in a speedy trial.

23 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing  
24 the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title

1 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United  
2 States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

3 9. This is the third request to continue the preliminary hearing.

4 DATED this 8th day of January, 2021.

5  
6 NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH  
United States Attorney

/s/ William Brown  
WILLIAM BROWN  
Counsel for Defendant Alejandro Avalos

7 /s/ Lisa C. Cartier Giroux  
8 LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX  
Assistant United States Attorney

9 /s/ Kimberly Sokolich  
10 KIMBERLY SOKOLICH  
Assistant United States Attorney

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 United States Of America, ) Case No. 2:20-mj-00801-DJA  
4 )  
5 Plaintiff, ) **Findings and Order on Stipulation**  
6 )  
7 vs. )  
8 )  
9 Alejandro Avalos, )  
10 )  
11 Defendant. )

12  
13 Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good  
14 cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 15 1. The government has provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16  
16 Discovery. Counsel for the defendant requests an opportunity to review the discovery  
17 and discuss it with their respective client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment.  
18 2. To allow the defense time to review the discovery with their client prior to the  
19 preliminary hearing and with the defendant's consent, the preliminary hearing in this  
20 case should be continued for good cause.  
21 3. The defendant is detained but agrees to the continuance.  
22 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the  
23 continuance.  
24 5. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an  
opportunity to review discovery with their client prior to a preliminary hearing or  
indictment.

6. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matters currently scheduled for January 11, 2021 at 4:00p.m., be vacated and continued to February 1, 2021, at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom 3A.

DATED this 8th day of January, 2021.



THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
United States Magistrate Judge